Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	J. ,	
Request for Review of the)		
Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by	?		
St. Norbert School	?	File No. SLD-223104	
Northbrook, Illinois)		
Federal-State Joint Board on)	CC Docket No. 96-45	
Universal Service)		
Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.	}	CC Docket No. 97-21	
Ol	RDER		

Adopted: January 14,2003 Released: January 15,2003

By the Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

- 1. The Telecommunications Access Policy Division has under consideration a Request for Review filed by St. Norbert School (St. Norbert), Northbrook, Illinois.' St. Norbert seeks review of a decision issued by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (Administrator) to reject St. Norbert's appeal on the grounds that it was untimely filed.² For the reasons set forth below, we deny St. Norbert's Request for Review.
- 2. SLD issued a Funding Commitment Decision Letter on July 23,2001, denying St. Norbert's request for discounted services under the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism' Specifically, SLD denied St. Norbert's request for discounts for telecommunications services, internal connections, and Internet access, Funding Request Numbers (FRNs) 558110,558213, and 558298. On October 31,2001, St. Norbert filed an

-

³ Letter from Anne Balzanto, St. Norbert School, to Federal Communications Commission, tiled March 21,2002 (Request for Review).

² See Request for Review. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of the Administrator may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R § 54.719(c).

³ Letter from Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Administrative Company, to St. Ann Busch, St. Norbert School, dated July 23,2001 (Funding Commitment Decision Letter).

⁴ *Id*.

appeal of SLD's decision.⁵ On November 9, 2001, SLD issued an Administrator's Decision on Appeal, indicating that it would not consider St. Norbert's appeal because it was received more than 30 days after the July 23,2001 Funding Commitment Decision Letter was issued.⁶ St. Norbert subsequently filed the instant Request for Review with the Commission.

- 3. For requests seeking review of decisions issued before August 13,2001 under section 54.720(b) of the Commission's rules, an appeal must be filed with the Commission or SLD within 30 days of the issuance of the decision that the party **seeks** to have reviewed.' Documents are considered to be filed with the Commission or SLD only upon receipt.' The 30-day deadline contained in section 54.720(b) of the Commission's rules applies to all such requests for review filed by a party affected by a decision issued by the Administrator9 Because St. Norbert failed to file an appeal of the July 23,2001 Funding Commitment Decision Letter within the requisite 30-day appeal period, we affirm SLD's decision to dismiss St. Norbert's appeal to SLD as untimely and deny the instant Request for Review.
- 4. To the extent that St. Norbert is requesting that we waive the 30-day deadline established in section 54.720(b) of the Commission's rules, we deny that request as well." The Commission may waive any provision of its rules, but a request for waiver must be supported by a showing of good cause." St. Norbert has not shown good cause for the untimely filing of its initial appeal. St. Norbert explains that it had a change in administration at the beginning of the school year and because of the transition, its E-Rate file was misplaced and the letter of appeal was delayed."
- 5. We conclude that St. Norbert has not demonstrated a sufficient basis for waiving the Commission's rules. Waiver is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from

⁵ Letter from Anne Balzanto, St. Norbert School, to Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Administrative Company, tiled October 31, 2001 (Request for Administrator Review).

⁶ Letter from Schools and Libraries Division, Universal Service Administrative Company, to Ann Balzanto, St. Norbert School, dated November 9,2001 (Administrator's Decision on Appeal).

⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 54.720(b).

⁸ 47 C.F.R. § 1.7.

⁹ Due to recent disruptions in the reliability of the mail service, the 30-day appeal period has been extended by an additional 30 days for requests seeking review of decisions issued on or after August 13,2001. See Implementation of Interim Filing Procedures for Filings of Requests for Review, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, FCC 01-376 (rel. December 26, 2001), as corrected by Implementation ← Interim Filing Procedures for Filings of Requests for Review, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Errata (Com. Car. Bur. rel. December 28, 2001 and January 4, 2002); SLD website, What's New (January 20, 2002), http://www.sl.universalservice.org/whatsnew/012002.asp#extend3ed. Because the July 23, 2001 Funding Commitment Decision Letter was issued before August 13,2001, the extended appeal period does not apply to St. Norbert.

¹⁰ See 47 C.F.R. § 54,720(b).

¹¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

¹² Request for Review.

the general rule, and such deviation would better serve the public interest than strict adherence to the general rule. ¹³ In requesting funds from the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism, the applicant has certain responsibilities. The applicant bears the burden of submitting its appeal to SLD within the established deadline if the applicant wishes its appeal to be considered on the merits.

- 6. The particular facts of this case do not rise to the level of special circumstances required for a deviation from the general rule. In light of the thousands of applications that SLD reviews and processes each year, it is administratively necessary to place on the applicant the burden of adhering strictly to its filing deadlines. As we have consistently held in the past, it is the applicant who is ultimately responsible for the timely submission of its appeals. Staffing problems do not relieve applicants of their responsibility to comply with the program's rules and procedures. Therefore, we conclude that St. Norbert has failed to demonstrate special circumstances upon which its Waiver Request can be granted.
- 7. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to authority delegated under sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, and 54.722(a), that the Request for Review filed by St. Norbert School, Northbrook, Illinois on March 21,2002, and the request to waive the 30-day time limit in which to file an appeal ARE DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Mark G. Seifert

Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division Wireline Competition Bureau

¹³ Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990)

¹⁴ See Request for Review by Anderson School Staatsburg, Federal-State Joint Board an Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the Notional Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., File No. SLD-133664, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, 15 FCC Rcd 25610 (Corn. Car. Bur. rel. Nov. 24, 2000), para. 8 ("In light of the thousands of applications that SLD reviews and processes each funding year, it is administratively necessary to place on the applicant the responsibility of understanding all relevant program rules and procedures.").

¹⁵ See FCC Overrules Caldwell Television Associates, Ltd., Public Notice, 58 RR 2d 1706, 1707 (1985).

¹⁶ See, e.g., Requestfor Review by Danbury Public Schools, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., File No. NEC.471.04-13-00.31900001, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 10910 (Corn. Car. Bur. 2001) (denying waiver request in case when employee responsible for filing failed to do so).